

Core Strategy and Development Management Policies Publication Stage Representation Form

Please return to Bassetlaw District Council by 5pm on Monday 20th December 2010

This form has two parts:

Part A – Personal Details

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

Guidance on how to complete this form is provided on the final pages

PART A

1. Your details

Title	<input type="text" value="Messrs Machin"/>
First Name	<input type="text"/>
Last Name	<input type="text"/>
Job Title	<input type="text"/>
Address line 1	<input type="text"/>
Line 2	<input type="text"/>
Line 3	<input type="text"/>
Line 4	<input type="text"/>
Postcode	<input type="text"/>
Telephone Number	<input type="text"/>
E-mail Address	<input type="text"/>

2. Agents Details (if applicable)

<input type="text" value="█"/>
<input type="text" value="█"/>
<input type="text" value="█"/>
<input type="text" value="█"/>
<input type="text" value="█"/>
<input type="text" value="█"/>
<input type="text" value="█"/>
<input type="text" value="█"/>
<input type="text" value="█"/>
<input type="text" value="█"/>

If you would like your details to be added to our consultation database, please tick

**PLEASE NOTE THAT THESE REPRESENTATIONS, INCLUDING YOUR CONTACT
DETAILS, WILL BE PUBLIC DOCUMENTS.**

PART B - Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation: [REDACTED]

3. To which document does your representation relate?		
✓ Core Strategy Appraisal	Proposals Map	Sustainability

4. To which part of the Core Strategy/Sustainability Appraisal does your representation relate?					
Paragraph Number(s)		Policy Number(s)	CS2 Workshop	Diagram(s)	

5. Do you consider the document to be legally compliant*?	
Yes	No I Do Not

6. Do you consider the Core Strategy to be 'sound'*?	
Yes	No I Do Not

7. If you consider the Core Strategy to be 'unsound', please identify the test of soundness to which your representation relates.		
✓ Justified	✓ Effective	✓ Consistent with National Policy

* The considerations in relation to the Core Strategy being ‘sound’ and ‘legally compliant’ are explained on the back page of this form.

8. Please give details of why you consider that the Core Strategy/Sustainability Appraisal/Proposals Map is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Core Strategy/Sustainability Appraisal/Proposals Map, please use this box to comment.

Policy CS2: Worksop

1.1 At paragraph 7.22 of the Preferred Options Consultation, the District Council acknowledged that Worksop;

“...has yet to fulfil its potential as a sub-regional centre that can compete in economic and retail terms with similar towns in the sub-region and Central Nottinghamshire. There remains a need to support its continuing social and economic recovery, while providing for housing growth that is of sufficient scale to accommodate the population needed to attract new businesses, services and facilities into the town.”

1.2 This underperformance is also acknowledged within paragraphs 4.13 and 4.14 of the Publication Core Strategy.

1.3 Therefore, when considered together with the preceding arguments presented within this representation, it is argued that the case exists to significantly increase the overall housing allocation to Worksop. There should be a very clear numerical distinction between the quantum of housing growth allocated to Worksop in comparison with the lower order settlements. At present the Publication Core Strategy is unsound because it does not exhibit this distinction and it is requested that the allocation be rebalanced in accordance with the distribution set out at **Appendix 3** such that 42% of the additional residential allocation is allocated to the town. This would more closely reflect the overall proportion of proposed employment allocation, notwithstanding the concerns expressed above between paragraphs 2.41 – 2.48 that the overall level of employment land provision is too high.

9. Have you raised this issue during previous formal consultations? (tick as appropriate)

Yes (at Issues & Options)

Yes (at Preferred Options)

No If you have answered 'No', please explain why this issue has not been raised before:

10. With reference to your answer at 8 above, please outline the precise change that you consider to be necessary to make the Core Strategy/Sustainability Appraisal/Proposals Map legally compliant or sound. Please demonstrate *why* this change will make the Core Strategy/Sustainability Appraisal legally compliant or sound.

See Answer to Section 8

11. If your representation is seeking a change to the Core Strategy or Sustainability Appraisal, do you consider it necessary for you to participate at the oral part of the examination or will this written response (to be submitted to the Inspector) be sufficient?

Please note that this written representation carries the same weight, and will be subject to the same scrutiny, as oral representations.

No, I do not wish to participate

✓ Yes, I wish to participate

Signature

This document is sent electronically and therefore unsigned.
If you would like a signed copy, please contact iPlan Solutions Ltd
and one will be forwarded to you

Date 20/12/2010

PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM BY 5PM ON MONDAY 20TH DECEMBER 2010 TO:

Planning Services, Bassetlaw District Council, Queens Buildings, Potter Street, Worksop,
S80 2AH or by email to future.plans@bassetlaw.gov.uk .