

# Core Strategy and Development Management Policies Publication Stage Representation Form

Please return to Bassetlaw District Council by 5pm on Monday 20th December 2010

This form has two parts:

**Part A** – Personal Details

**Part B** – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

Guidance on how to complete this form is provided on the final pages

## PART A

### 1. Your details

Title	<input type="text" value="Messrs Machin"/>
First Name	<input type="text"/>
Last Name	<input type="text"/>
Job Title	<input type="text"/>
Address line 1	<input type="text"/>
Line 2	<input type="text"/>
Line 3	<input type="text"/>
Line 4	<input type="text"/>
Postcode	<input type="text"/>
Telephone Number	<input type="text"/>
E-mail Address	<input type="text"/>

### 2. Agents Details (if applicable)

<input type="text" value="█"/>
<input type="text" value="█"/>
<input type="text" value="█"/>
<input type="text" value="Managing Director"/>
<input type="text" value="█"/>
<input type="text" value="█"/>
<input type="text" value="█"/>
<input type="text"/>
<input type="text" value="█"/>
<input type="text" value="█"/>
<input type="text" value="█"/>

If you would like your details to be added to our consultation database, please tick

**PLEASE NOTE THAT THESE REPRESENTATIONS, INCLUDING YOUR CONTACT  
DETAILS, WILL BE PUBLIC DOCUMENTS.**

**PART B - Please use a separate sheet for each representation**

Name or Organisation: [REDACTED]

3. To which document does your representation relate?		
✓ Core Strategy Appraisal	Proposals Map	Sustainability

4. To which part of the Core Strategy/Sustainability Appraisal does your representation relate?					
Paragraph Number(s)	3.2, 3.3	Policy Number(s)	Objective SO1, SO6, SO7	Diagram(s)	

5. Do you consider the document to be legally compliant*?	
Yes	No it is not

6. Do you consider the Core Strategy to be 'sound'*?	
Yes	No it is Not

7. If you consider the Core Strategy to be 'unsound', please identify the test of soundness to which your representation relates.		
Justified	✓ Effective	✓ Consistent with National Policy

**\* The considerations in relation to the Core Strategy being ‘sound’ and ‘legally compliant’ are explained on the back page of this form.**

**8. Please give details of why you consider that the Core Strategy/Sustainability Appraisal/Proposals Map is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.**

**If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Core Strategy/Sustainability Appraisal/Proposals Map, please use this box to comment.**

### **Chapter 3 Vision and Objectives for Bassetlaw**

- 1.1 The overall preferred vision set out at paragraph 3.2 is supported. In particular, it is pleasing to note that the Council wish to see Worksop grow into its role as a Sub-Regional centre, particularly combining new business locations along the town's main approach roads together with the allocation of high-quality housing developments.
- 1.2 In seeking to achieve this, it must be consistent with the housing requirements set out at the East Midlands RSS. This remains extant in December 2010 following the High Court Challenge between Cala Homes and the Secretary of State and therefore part of the Development Plan to which the Publication Core Strategy must comply. Policy 13a requires the delivery of 7000 dwellings at 350 dwellings per annum between 2006 and 2026. Achievement of this requirement will need to be appropriately planned for and delivered. In relation to this, Policy Northern SRS1 requires that a significant proportion of this growth is to be directed to Worksop.
- 1.3 Workshop is designated in the RSS as the Sub-Regional Centre within the district and a clear focus of new development opportunity on the town will be required for this objective to be fulfilled.
- 1.4 The Localism Bill published on 13 December will ultimately lead to the revocation of the RSS. Locally derived policies that will supersede will nevertheless need to be based upon empirically researched levels of identified housing and employment need and based upon the underlying principals of achieving sustainable development which therefore provides the guiding framework against which the Core Strategy should be produced. The RSS achieves this in respect of Bassetlaw. In particular, the implications arising from the changes made to PPS3 in June 2010 have a potentially significant bearing upon the distribution of future residential development, particularly in relation to the emergence of windfalls on previously developed land, the overall density of development that may be delivered and significantly the approach that the District Council should now take towards considering planning applications to renew existing planning permission that may lapse.
- 1.5 Paragraph 3.3 sets out the nine preferred strategic objectives for the Core Strategy.
- 1.6 Objective SO1 is supported in principle, however it should be more directly cross-related to

the settlement hierarchy set out in Chapter 4.

- 1.7 Objective SO6 is considered unsound as currently drafted. It lacks the flexibility demanded by the second paragraph of paragraph 4.44 of PPS12. It should be amended to introduce flexibility in the same manner that has been used within Objective SO7. It is requested that the first sentence be amended as shown in italics to state;

**"To ensure that, *where appropriate*, all new development responds to the effects of climate change by reducing or mitigating flood risk; realising opportunities to utilise low and zero carbon energy sources and/or infrastructure; taking opportunities to achieve sustainable transport solution; and making use of Sustainable Drainage Systems *where feasible*."**

**9. Have you raised this issue during previous formal consultations? (tick as appropriate)**

Yes (at Issues & Options)

Yes (at Preferred Options)

No  If you have answered 'No', please explain why this issue has not been raised before:

**10. With reference to your answer at 8 above, please outline the precise change that you consider to be necessary to make the Core Strategy/Sustainability Appraisal/Proposals Map legally compliant or sound. Please demonstrate *why* this change will make the Core Strategy/Sustainability Appraisal legally compliant or sound.**

**See Answer to 8.**

**11. If your representation is seeking a change to the Core Strategy or Sustainability Appraisal, do you consider it necessary for you to participate at the oral part of the examination or will this written response (to be submitted to the Inspector) be sufficient?**

***Please note that this written representation carries the same weight, and will be subject to the same scrutiny, as oral representations.***

No, I do not wish to participate

✓ Yes, I wish to participate

**Signature**

This document is sent electronically and therefore unsigned.  
If you would like a signed copy, please contact iPlan Solutions Ltd  
and one will be forwarded to you

**Date 20/12/2010**

**PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM BY 5PM ON MONDAY 20TH DECEMBER 2010**