

APPENDIX A

BASSETLAW CORE STRATEGY & DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT POLICIES DPD POST-SUBMISSION & POST HEARINGS SCHEDULE OF PROPOSED FOCUSED CHANGES JUNE 2011

The Core Strategy & Development Management Policies DPD was submitted for Examination 31 January 2011, along with an initial Schedule of Proposed Minor Changes. With the exception of the change related to the removal of Lound as a Rural Service Centre (see below), these are accepted as changes to the DPD. Post-submission, the District Council proposed further minor changes to aid clarity, address factual updates and address typographical or grammatical errors. These were set out in a Further Schedule of Proposed Minor Changes, which the Council submitted to the Inspector along with its Statements. Most of these have since been included in the Post-Submission & Post Hearings Schedule of Proposed Minor Changes, which accompanies this Focused Changes Schedule, to ensure a complete record of post-submission minor changes (N.B. some, however, such as changes to the Harworth Bircotes development boundary, have now been included in *this* Schedule, being regarded as significant changes). Furthermore, during the discussions at the Hearings, the Council agreed to consider a number of other minor changes that it was believed would assist with clarifying a number of policies and providing greater context to the DPD. These are also set out in the Post-Submission & Post Hearings Schedule of Proposed Minor Changes. Please note that those changes are *not* the subject of further Representation.

Subsequent to the Hearings the Inspector wrote to the Council proposing a limited number of 'essential changes', which it was felt were needed to address matters of soundness. The Council has considered these proposals and has, in response to the Inspector's letter, set out its suggested changes below, which it believes address the soundness issues raised. The Council has also put forward some additional changes, which it was asked to consider during the Hearings, which it believes are more than Minor Changes and which also need to be made available for further comment. Where necessary, these changes (defined as 'focused changes') have been subject to Sustainability Appraisal and are available for consultation from Monday 27 June to Friday 26 August. The Council will compile a Schedule of Representations, which will include its responses to any points made. This will then be forwarded to the Inspector. He will consider these in his final Report. Representation on the Focused Changes should be sent to:

Planning Policy Team
Bassetlaw District Council
Queen's Buildings
Potter Street
Worksop
Notts
S80 2AH

Email: future.plans@bassetlaw.gov.uk

If you have any queries in relation to this process, please contact Richard Schofield (Planning Policy & Conservation Manager) on 01909 533493.

Proposed Focused Changes to the content of the Submission Core Strategy are illustrated in the following ways:

Strikethroughs = deletions

Underlinings = additions

Change Number	Section	Policy / Paragraph	Proposed Change	Reason for Change
FC1	1. Introduction	How do I use the Core Strategy?; 2 nd paragraph of the text box	Insert footnote after ' <i>development boundaries</i> ': ' <u>Please note that these are interim boundaries and will be revised during the development of the Site Allocations DPD</u> '.	To provide clarity about the status of development boundaries
FC2	1. Introduction	Paragraph 1.2; 1st sentence	Amend to read: This Core Strategy is the key LDF document and provides the overarching framework for all other documents that may be produced. It sets out a vision for change in Bassetlaw to 2028 6 , along with the place-specific policy approaches to be taken in order to achieve this vision.	To provide at least a 15 year timeframe for the SADPD
FC3	1. Introduction	Paragraph 1.3, 1st sentence	Amend to read: 'While the Core Strategy will run for an <u>18</u> 5 -year period, it is very likely that it will be revised and updated during this time to reflect changing need and circumstance.'	To provide at least a 15 year timeframe for the SADPD

FC4	1. Introduction	Insert after paragraph 1.10 (and re-number paragraphs accordingly)	<p><u>Insert: 'Regional Planning Policy</u></p> <p><u>The East Midlands Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) provides a broad development strategy for the region. Although Government has proposed to remove the RSS, until that time the Council must ensure that this DPD is in general conformity with RSS policies.</u></p> <p><u>The RSS seeks to realise the following vision for the Northern Sub-Area, in which Bassetlaw is located:</u></p> <p><u><i>The Northern Sub-Area will be an area containing vibrant towns and smaller centres which are easily accessible from major transport routes, which is rich in carefully protected natural and cultural assets and supporting a viable population and employment base within sustainable communities.</i></u></p> <p><u>Of particular relevance to Bassetlaw are policies 7 (Regeneration of the Northern Sub-Area); 13a (Regional Housing Provision); 16 (Regional Priorities for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople); 19 (Regional Priorities for Regeneration); and Northern SRS policies 1 (Sub-Regional Development Priorities); 2 (Supporting the Roles of Towns and Village Centres); and 3 (Sub-Regional Employment Regeneration Priorities). While there are no targets for employment land provision set out in the RSS, these policies do seek to ensure the delivery of 350 houses a year within Bassetlaw (7000 houses in total between 2006-2026) and an adequate supply of pitches for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople. They also seek to promote development in the District's larger centres, specifically Worksop and Retford, while making allowances for specific regeneration priorities, notably in the former mining communities from Worksop northwards.</u></p> <p><u>The Council has received confirmation from East Midlands Councils that the DPD is in general conformity with this vision and its policies.'</u></p>	To ensure explicit conformity with the RSS
FC5	3. Vision & Objectives	Vision, 1 st paragraph	<p><u>Insert new final sentence: 'This will be achieved through the creation of a sustainable pattern of development, focusing growth in the District's three main settlements of Worksop, Retford and Harworth Bircotes and carefully managing development elsewhere.'</u></p>	To align the DPD more explicitly with national policy in relation to sustainable development.

FC6	3. Vision & Objectives	Vision, 4 th paragraph	Harworth Bircotes, the District's third largest settlement, will <u>be the focus for a step change in housing growth and linked employment development, which will take advantage of the opportunities offered by the A1 corridor and proximity to Robin Hood Airport (Doncaster Sheffield). grow further as a key focus for local employment with</u> the regeneration of the Harworth Colliery site resulting in a <u>along with greenfield extensions, will produce well-integrated developments that contributes to a significantly improved range of housing in the town, along with an enhanced town centre. and assist with enhanced service and shopping provision in the town centre. Further employment opportunities will be established around the town, taking advantage of the A1 corridor.</u>	To provide clarity about what is being proposed for Harworth Bircotes.
FC7	3. Vision & Objectives	Vision, 6 th paragraph	Amend to read: 'A few of the District's other villages will act as rural service centres, accommodating limited, carefully managed levels of housing growth and small affordable housing schemes. The character of Bassetlaw's <u>other, smaller, villages and hamlets, as well as its pleasant and varied landscapes, will, however, be conserved through the careful management of specific types of development appropriate to in</u> these areas. Ongoing S support for rural businesses, and appropriate farm diversification schemes, will ensure that the economy of these rural areas continues to evolve.'	To align the DPD more explicitly with national policy in relation to sustainable development.
FC8	3. Vision & Objectives	Insert new paragraph between 6 th and 7 th paragraph	Insert new paragraph: ' <u>Bassetlaw's diverse landscapes give rise to a rich variety of species and habitats in both urban and rural areas. Opportunities will be sought to protect and enhance biodiversity, and to maintain a high quality natural environment by sensitively integrating new development. The corridors of the Rivers Ryton and Idle, along with the Chesterfield Canal, will be rich in biodiversity and offer quality opportunities for recreation and leisure in the heart of both Worksop and Retford.</u>	To align the DPD more explicitly with national policy in relation to sustainable development.
FC9	3. Vision & Objectives	New SO - to be numbered SO10	Insert: ' SO10 To ensure the provision of the essential physical, social and green infrastructure required to support the District's growth'.	To provide clarity about the fact that new infrastructure will be required to deliver growth in the District.

FC10	4. Spatial Strategy	CS1 1 st and 2 nd paragraph (incorporating and superseding Change 9 (see Schedule of Proposed Minor Changes)).	<p>Amend to read: 'The distribution of new development in Bassetlaw, over the period covered by this Core Strategy, will be in accordance with the aims of the settlement hierarchy (<u>i.e. to ensure that the scale of new development is appropriate in relation to the size, function and regeneration opportunities of each tier</u>). It will contribute to the achievement of the visions for each place as set out in policies CS2 to CS9. Any new allocations required, in the event that development sites allocated in the Site Allocations DPD fail to progress as anticipated, will also be guided by the Settlement Hierarchy. Until the adoption of the Site Allocations DPD, development in the settlements identified in the hierarchy will be restricted to the area inside defined Development Boundaries (see Proposals Map) unless sites meet the affordable housing or community infrastructure exceptions criteria in policies CS5-CS9 or are required to achieve the District's overall land supply targets and to that which is subject to the proviso below.</p> <p><u>Over the plan period, additional permissions may be granted where sites meet the affordable housing or community infrastructure exceptions criteria in policies CS5-CS9 or it is demonstrated to the Council's satisfaction that a development proposal will be of benefit in:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>addressing a shortfall in the District's five-year housing supply or its employment land supply; or</u> • <u>delivering the Council's strategy for a specific settlement (particularly where allocated or permitted sites are failing to come forward as anticipated); or</u> • <u>delivering new or improved services or facilities for a local community (with that community's explicit support).'</u> 	To provide the DPD with the flexibility required to respond to changes in circumstances.
FC11	4. Spatial Strategy	CS1 & CS8 (N.B. this covers Changes 11 & 26 (see Schedule of Proposed Minor Changes) which are re-classified as Focused Changes)	Delete references to 'Lound' as a Rural Service Centre (RSC)	To ensure consistency in relation to the criteria used to define RSCs (based upon the levels of services and facilities that they offer).

FC12	4. Spatial Strategy	Paragraph 4.6, (using updated text from Change 13 (see Schedule of Proposed Minor Changes)).	Amend to read: 'A housing growth target has been set for the District for the period 2010 to 2028 6 , in order to provide at least a 15-year timeframe for the <u>Site Allocations DPD</u> . This target reflects that set out in the now revoked East Midlands Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS), which, at the time that this DPD was produced, remained part of the Development Plan with which the DPD had to conform . The Council considers that the work that was undertaken to establish the RSS targets (based on an assessment of household projections and the consideration of population growth and migration factors), which was scrutinised at Examination in Public, remains a sound basis for decisions about local housing growth ⁽¹⁷⁾ established a housing provision figure for Bassetlaw that represented below trend and below annual build rates, as a result of the high out commuting levels to South Yorkshire that were identified ⁽¹⁷⁾ . This figure was considered sufficient to support the role of Worksop as a Sub-Regional Centre and to allow the District to respond to regeneration needs. For these reasons, and because there have been no substantive or sustained differences in the long-term housing trend projections since the RSS was approved, the Council believes that this evidence base remains a sound basis for decisions about local housing growth figures and will continue to do so even if the RSS is revoked.'	To provide at least a 15 year timeframe for the SADPD; ensure conformity with RSS; and provide clarity about the Councils' position if/when the RSS is revoked.
FC13	4. Spatial Strategy	Paragraph 4.7 (N.B. this change supersedes Change 14 (see Schedule of Proposed Minor Changes)).	Amend to read: 'Considering the different timeframe between the former RSS targets (set over the period 2006 to 2026) and the timeframe for this Core Strategy (2010 to 2028 6), <u>the table below demonstrates the an adjustment that has been made to the total housing growth target to account for the amount of housing development that has already taken place, and the number of existing permissions, in the District. and the extension to the plan period following the examination of the Core Strategy. To address this extension it was necessary, therefore, to carry the RSS annual housing requirement, of 350 dpa, forward for a further two years. This was felt to be the most logical approach, given that the Council has already used the RSS housing work as the basis for the DPD's housing targets and in the interests of consistency. This leaves an overall housing target of 3629 6381 for the period 2010 to 20286, which is summarised in the table 4.1 below (correct as of 1 April 2010). This residual figure, that will need to be allocated in the Site Allocations DPD target will, clearly, change (even before this Core Strategy is adopted) as new permissions are granted and sites are identified within the five-year supply. Account will be taken of these changes at the time of the Site Allocations DPD and the level of allocations required will be adjusted accordingly. and should, therefore, be treated as</u>	To To provide at least a 15 year timeframe for the SADPD; remove unnecessary and constantly changing detail; and provide clarity on delivery. (N.B. This supersedes Change 14 (see Schedule of Proposed Minor Changes)).

			indicative. Please refer to the Annual Monitoring Report for annually updated information'	
FC14	4. Spatial Strategy	Housing table p.20	Replace current table with that found at Appendix A to this Schedule.	To remove unnecessary and constantly changing detail and provide clarity on delivery.
FC15	4. Spatial Strategy	Insert new paragraph after 4.9 and then renumber the subsequent paragraphs.	Insert new paragraph: <u>'The RSS does not set specific employment land targets for Bassetlaw or, indeed, for any District in the region. The figure of 107 hectares set out in this DPD is, therefore, a gross (total) employment land target derived from a range of work. It runs to 2028, in order to provide at least a 15-year timeframe for the Site Allocations DPD. The Northern Sub-Region Employment Land Review established a net employment growth target for Bassetlaw of between 79.5 and 92.5 ha for the period 2006 to 2026. This included a 57.6 ha five-year margin of choice. The Employment Land Capacity Study produced a revised net employment land requirement taking into account the availability of more up-to-date monitoring data. It converted this net figure to a gross employment land requirement of 133-142 ha between 2009 and 2026, which includes an allowance for the replacement of employment land losses and an adjusted/updated margin for choice allowance. Finally, to bring the employment land growth target up-to-date, the level of completions and commitments as of 2009/10 were calculated and deducted from the ELCS (LD16) 142 ha gross target (this did not include the 43 ha site at the former Bevercotes Colliery, as it remains the Council's view that delivery of this site is uncertain, pending works to the A1 at Elkesley). Finally, further adjustments to the net target and losses allowance were undertaken to account for the plan period extension to 2028, following the Core Strategy Hearings.'</u>	To provide clarity on delivery and to provide additional detail in relation to the derivation of employment land targets.

FC16	4. Spatial Strategy	Paragraph 4.10 and new paragraph	<p>Amend to read: 'To support the economic growth of Bassetlaw, <u>new allocations will be required in Worksop, Retford and Harworth</u> an employment land growth target has been set for the District. This has been derived from both sub-regional and local employment land studies (see Appendix 2) combined with updates in the monitoring of employment land growth completions and current growth commitments as of 2010. Allocated Growth has been directed to Worksop, Retford and Harworth <u>these settlements as they are</u> the most popular, and sustainable, locations both in terms of market interest and regeneration opportunities, although policy does allow for expansion in other areas. <u>The specific split of employment land growth per settlement is: Worksop 45% (48ha); Retford (20%) 21ha; and Harworth Bircotes 35% (37ha).</u> The targets set do not exceed the potential land available for employment uses in each settlement. The specific sites required to achieve these growth targets will be allocated through the subsequent Site Allocations Development Plan Document. The table below provides a summary of the employment growth distribution against the Settlement Hierarchy.'</p> <p>Insert new paragraph: '<u>In addition to new allocations, strong support will continue to be given to new opportunities that arise on suitable existing employment sites and other suitable locations across the District. Good quality sites will continue to be protected for economic development purposes, with many being under pressure for development for other uses (chiefly housing).</u>'</p>	To provide clarity on delivery; to provide additional detail in relation to the derivation of employment land targets; and to align the DPD more explicitly with national policy in relation to sustainable development.
FC17	4. Spatial Strategy	Table page 21 (N.B. this change also deletes Change 17 (see Schedule of Proposed Minor Changes)).	Delete table.	To provide clarity on delivery
FC18	4. Spatial Strategy	CS2, Section A, 1 st paragraph, 1 st sentence	Amend to read: 'At least 32% <u>(1993 houses)</u> of the District's housing requirement will be delivered at Worksop through both existing permissions and allocations in the Site Allocations DPD <u>for the plan period 2010-2028</u> . This will include sustainable urban extensions.'	To provide clarity on delivery and to provide at least a 15-year timeframe for the DPD.
FC19	4. Spatial Strategy	CS2, Section B, 1 st paragraph, 1 st sentence	Amend to read: 'At least 45% <u>(48 ha)</u> of the District's employment land needs will be delivered at Worksop, through both existing permissions and allocations in the Site Allocations DPD, <u>for the plan period 2010-2028</u> .'	To provide clarity on delivery and to provide at least a 15-year timeframe for the DPD.

FC20	4. Spatial Strategy	CS3, Section A, 1 st paragraph, 1 st sentence	Amend to read: 'At least 26% <u>(1567 houses)</u> of the District's housing requirement will be delivered at Retford through existing permissions and allocations, which will include sustainable urban extensions, in the Site Allocations DPD <u>for the plan period 2010-2028.</u> '	To provide clarity on delivery and to provide at least a 15-year timeframe for the DPD.
FC21	4. Spatial Strategy	CS3, Section B, 1 st paragraph, 1 st sentence.	Amend to read: 'At least 20% <u>(21 ha)</u> of the District's employment land needs will be delivered at Retford, through existing permissions and allocations in the Site Allocations DPD, <u>for the plan period 2010-2028.</u> '	To provide clarity on delivery and to provide at least a 15-year timeframe for the DPD.
FC22	4. Spatial Strategy	CS4, paragraph 4.17	Amend to read: 'Taking the above into consideration, as well as the strong local support for improvements to the area, it is clear that Harworth Bircotes is well positioned to deliver significant growth, with the aim of making a step change to the settlement's housing and employment offer. <u>Steps have already been taken in this regard, with the granting of planning permission on part of the colliery site for 996 houses, employment floorspace and a supermarket. This site is unlikely to be fully developed during the plan period, which may necessitate greenfield releases to enable the achievement of the step change required for the town.</u> '	To provide greater clarity on the possible need for greenfield development in Harworth Bircotes.
FC23	4. Spatial Strategy	CS4, Section A, 1 st paragraph, 1 st sentence	Amend to read: 'At least 22% <u>(1566 houses)</u> of the District's housing requirement will be delivered at Harworth Bircotes, through existing permissions and allocations in the Site Allocations DPD, <u>for the plan period 2010-2028.</u> '	To provide clarity on delivery and to provide at least a 15-year timeframe for the DPD.
FC24	4. Spatial Strategy	CS4, Section B, 1 st paragraph' 1 st sentence	Amend to read: 'At least 35% <u>(37 ha)</u> of the District's employment land needs will be delivered at Harworth Bircotes through existing permissions and allocations (focused on land south of Harworth Bircotes) in the Site Allocations DPD <u>for the plan period 2010-2028.</u> '	To provide clarity on delivery and to provide at least a 15-year timeframe for the DPD.
FC25	4. Spatial Strategy	CS5, Section A, 1 st paragraph, 1 st sentence.	Amend to read: 'Up to 4% <u>(268 houses)</u> of the District's housing requirement will be met at Carlton-in-Lindrick and Langold <u>for the plan period 2010-2028</u> ⁽²³⁾ .'	To provide clarity on delivery and to provide at least a 15-year timeframe for the DPD.
FC26	4. Spatial Strategy	CS6, Section A, 1 st paragraph, 1 st sentence	Amend to read: 'Up to 4% <u>(300 houses)</u> of the District's housing requirement will be delivered at Tuxford, through existing permissions and allocations in the Site Allocations DPD, <u>for the plan period 2010-2028.</u> '	To provide clarity on delivery and to provide at least a 15-year timeframe for the DPD.
FC27	4. Spatial Strategy	CS7, paragraph 4.22, 2 nd sentence	Amend to read: 'While this has helped to maintain Misterton's role as a Local Service Centre, there is a strongly held local view that the village has seen enough growth and, for these reasons, new allocations in the village are	To provide clarity on future development in Misterton (following

			unlikely.'	deletion of table on p.20).
FC28	4. Spatial Strategy	CS7, Section A, 1 st paragraph, 1 st sentence	Amend to read: 'Up to 2% (86 houses) of the District's housing requirement will be met in Misterton ⁽²⁷⁾ , through existing permissions and additional land releases as necessary, <u>for the plan period 2010-2028.</u>	To provide clarity on delivery and to provide at least a 15-year timeframe for the DPD.
FC29	4. Spatial Strategy	CS8, Section A, 1 st paragraph, 1 st sentence.	Amend to read: 'Up to 10% (601 houses) of the District's housing requirement will be delivered in the Rural Service Centres, through existing permissions and allocations in the Site Allocations DPD, <u>for the plan period 2010-2028.</u> '	To provide clarity on delivery and to provide at least a 15-year timeframe for the DPD.
FC30	5. Development Management Policies	DM1	Insert new first paragraph: ' <u>This policy applies to any area outside a Development Boundary (which includes those settlements covered by policy CS9).</u> '	To provide clarity about the application of policy to CS9.
FC31	5. Development Management Policies	DM1, 2 nd bullet point	Amend to read: '... there are no other suitable locations closer to sites in, or close to, settlements covered by policies CS2-CS8 or using on brownfield land.'	To provide clarity about the application of policy to CS9.
FC32	5. Development Management Policies	DM2	Insert new first paragraph: ' <u>This policy applies to any area outside a Development Boundary (which includes those settlements covered by policy CS9).</u> '	To provide clarity about the application of policy to CS9.
FC33	5. Development Management Policies	DM3	Insert new first paragraph: ' Development in the countryside (defined as the area outside Development Boundaries), other than where it meets the exceptions criteria in the Spatial Strategy policies, Policy DM1 or the sections set out below, will not be supported. <u>This policy applies to any area outside a Development Boundary (which includes those settlements covered by policy see CS9).</u> '	To provide clarity about the application of policy to CS9.
FC34	5. Development Management Policies	DM6, introduction, paragraph 5.9.	Revise penultimate sentence and add additional text: 'The Council's Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessment (see Appendix 2) demonstrated a minimum need for 43 pitches (25 some permanent, 18 some transit), on top of the c.80 that already exist in the District, between now and 2026. <u>This need was taken into the RSS, which set a target for delivery to 2012. Five have been delivered to date. The residual requirement from 2012, updated locally to take account of any additional pitch requirements to 2028, will be met through allocations in the Site Allocations DPD. Any updates will be undertaken in line with national guidance, which, at the time of writing, is currently being revised.</u> '	To provide clarity on delivery and to provide at least a 15-year timeframe for the DPD.
FC35	5. Development Management Policies	DM6	Revise and restructure policy: <u>Site Allocations</u>	To provide clarity and to better reflect current and emerging national policy.

			<p>Land to accommodate the District's residual pitch requirement will be allocated in the Site Allocations DPD, in accordance with the aims of Policy CS1, and with the criteria set out in this policy, in the Site Allocations DPD. <u>within or on the edge of those settlements where there is ready access to services and facilities.</u> <u>In the event that the identified pitch requirement cannot be met under the terms set out above, sites in rural and semi-rural locations may be appropriate where there is reasonable access to services and facilities.</u> <u>Applications for new sites will be supported in accordance with the aims of policy CS1 (namely to focus new development in sustainable locations) and with the criteria set out in this policy.</u></p> <p><u>Proposals</u> <u>All sites</u> will need to demonstrate that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the intended occupants meet the definition of gypsies and travellers or the definition of travelling showpeople; the site has easy and convenient access to nearby services and facilities; the scale and design of the site is appropriate to its surrounding location and makes efficient use of land; there is adequate space for parking, turning, servicing and waste collection/recycling on site; appropriate landscaping and boundary treatments will be provided to give privacy and to enhance the local environment; there is safe vehicular access to the public highway; the site will be properly serviced by utilities such as water and sewerage; the site is not located in an area at high risk of flooding and is not significantly contaminated. <p>B. Rural Exceptions</p> <p>Notwithstanding Section C below, where no alternative sites are available within Development Boundaries, proposals for residential sites, of a scale appropriate to the size and role of the settlement, will be supported outside of, but adjoining,</p>	
--	--	--	--	--

			<p>these Boundaries where need can be demonstrated through evidence that the site's future occupants:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • are current residents within the settlement; or • have an existing family within the settlement; or • have an established, long-term employment connection with the settlement. <p>C. Further Considerations</p> <p><u>In addition to the above:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposals for sites for travelling showpeople will also need to demonstrate <u>that</u>: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> that they are in areas where ancillary yards for business would be acceptable; that where sites contain work areas, use of these areas will not lead to unacceptable air or environmental pollution, noise or other nuisance or risk to the health and safety of residents on and adjacent to the site. consideration will also be given to the preference of many gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople for a site to have a degree of limited separation from the settled community; locating transit sites where there is ready access to the strategic and trunk roads through the District; support will be given to the improvement and expansion of existing permitted gypsy, traveller and travelling show people sites, such as Daneshill Lakes, provided that the above criteria can be met. <p><u>Planning Applications</u></p> <p><u>Applications for new sites will be determined in accordance with the above criteria. The Council will also wish to be satisfied that:</u></p>	
--	--	--	---	--

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>the intended occupants meet the definition of gypsies and travellers or the definition of travelling showpeople;</u> • <u>there is a need for additional pitches in the area proposed and there are no alternative sites available in the District.</u> 	
FC36	5. Development Management Policies	DM7 Section B (incorporating Change 44 (see Schedule of Proposed Minor Changes)).	<p>Amend to read: 'All <u>sites</u> allocated <u>for Economic Development uses in the Site Allocations DPD</u> and existing, or vacant former, employment sites, will be protected for economic development purposes. Notwithstanding the bullet points below, proposals for to change-of-use <u>re-develop a</u> protected economic development <u>site for non-economic development uses</u>, will usually be expected to be for mixed-use development, ensuring the minimum amount of non-economic development uses is proposed to support and deliver the redevelopment of the site for economic development purposes.</p> <p>Proposals for the redevelopment of protected sites for any other use will only be supported, in line with the Spatial Strategy policies, where:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Council's most up-to-date employment land assessment(s) recommends their release for another purpose; or • it can be demonstrated to the Council's satisfaction that a site is no longer capable of accommodating economic development uses (e.g. due to its location or for reasons of development viability); or • it can be demonstrated to the Council's satisfaction that redevelopment would offer significant benefits to the local area; or • the site has been allocated for redevelopment for mixed or non-economic development uses through the Site Allocations DPD. <p>Proposals for change-of <u>non-economic development uses on protected sites</u> based on claims of development viability will need to be accompanied by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • evidence that all reasonable efforts have been made to sell and let the site or premises for economic development purposes at a realistic price for a period of at least 12 months; and • a detailed viability assessment. <u>Where there is dispute between the Council and the applicant about the conclusions of the assessment it which will be considered by an independent assessor, to be agreed with</u> 	To provide clarity about the application of policy.

			<p>the applicant, of the Council's choosing at the applicant's <u>reasonable expense</u>. <u>This criterion will also apply to proposals, based on claims of development viability, for economic development uses in locations that conflict with national policy.</u></p> <p>If the site is outside a Development Boundary, please see also Policy DM3.</p>	
FC37	5. Development Management Policies	DM9, section D, (using updated text from Change 53 (see Schedule of Proposed Minor Changes)).	<p>Amend to read: Development proposals will be expected to demonstrate that they will not adversely affect or result in the loss of protected open spaces and sports facilities (please see Proposals Map) identified in the Council's Open Space and Sports Facilities studies. Exceptions may be made if the open spaces or facilities are identified as surplus to demand in a given location and that alternative provision, or a contribution towards new or improved facilities elsewhere, would be preferable. Alternative scheme designs that minimise impact should be considered before the use of mitigation (on-site, off-site or through contributions as appropriate).</p> <p>New development proposals (particularly for allocated sites) will be expected to provide functional on-site open space and/or sports facilities (which will become protected for the purposes of this policy), <u>or to provide contributions towards new or improved facilities elsewhere locally</u>, as well as contributions for on-going maintenance, to meet any deficiencies in local provision (when assessed against locally defined standards as set out in the Council's Open Space and Sports Facilities studies) that will be caused by the development or to provide contributions towards new or improved facilities elsewhere locally.</p> <p><u>Areas of protected open space will be identified in the Site Allocations Development Plan Document.</u></p>	To provide an effective policy that will ensure that the identification of areas of open space and sports facilities for protection is undertaken in tandem with the selection of sites for development.
FC38	5. Development Management Policies	DM9. Figure 5.1. Bassetlaw Green Infrastructure Network.	Remove figure 5.1 from the DPD.	To provide clarity (the scale of these figures are difficult to interpret and, therefore, ineffective in guiding development).
FC39	5. Development Management Policies	DM9. Figure 5.2. Landscape Character Policy Zones.	Remove figure 5.2 from the DPD.	The provide clarity (the scale of these figures are difficult to interpret and,

				therefore, ineffective in guiding development).
FC40	5. Development Management Policies	DM10, insert new paragraph after 5.26.	Insert: ' <u>Energy generation is already a prominent feature of the Trent Valley, with the power stations at West Burton and Cottam punctuating eastward views across the area. As such, careful consideration will need to be given to the potential effects on the Trent Valley, as well as its birdlife and range of habitats, when assessing proposals for large-scale renewable and low carbon energy infrastructure.</u> ' NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE INSPECTOR	To ensure that the approach to renewable and low carbon energy takes account of local issues and is supportive of the plan's objectives
FC41	5. Development Management Policies	DM9. Figure 5.3. Energy Opportunities Diagram. (N.B. this change also deletes Change 54 (see Schedule of Proposed Minor Changes)).	Remove figure 5.3 from the DPD.	The scale of these figures are difficult to interpret and therefore ineffective in guiding development.
FC42	5. Development Management Policies	DM14 (N.B. this change also deletes Change 73 (see Schedule of Proposed Minor Changes)).	Delete policy and introductory text.	To remove superfluous policy that is not effective or clear. (N.B. this supersedes Change 73)
FC43	Appendix 4: All Other Settlements		Add ' <u>Lound</u> ' to list of 'All Other Settlements' (N.B. this change encapsulates Change 80 (see Schedule of Proposed Minor Changes) which is re-classified as a Focused Change)	To ensure consistency in relation to the criteria used to define RSCs (based upon the levels of services and facilities that they offer).
FC44	Proposals Map	Introductory text, 7 th paragraph	Amend to read: ' <u>Please note also that the development boundaries shown on this Proposals Map are interim boundaries that will also be revised following the adoption during the development of the Site Allocations Development Plan Document, in order to show all site allocations and any re-drawn development boundaries that include allocated sites.</u> '	To provide clarity about the status of the boundaries
FC45	Proposals Map		Remove protected open space designations from Proposals Map	To complement Change 53 (see Schedule of Proposed Minor Changes and Change FC37

				above).
FC46	Proposals Map	(N.B. this covers Change 95 (see Schedule of Proposed Minor Changes) which is re-classified as a Focused Change).	Remove Lound inset map	To ensure consistency in relation to the criteria used to define RSCs (based upon the levels of services and facilities that they offer).
FC47	Proposals Map		Amend Proposals Map to incorporate recently permitted mixed-use development at Harworth Colliery (61/09/00052)	To ensure consistency within the DPD in relation to the definition of Development Boundaries.

PROPOSED CHANGES TO HOUSING ON PAGE 20 OF CORE STRATEGY (SEE CHANGE FC14 ABOVE)

Amend housing table as follows:

Settlement	Spilt of housing growth	RSS Housing growth target 2006-2026 plus plan period extension (2026-2028)	Past completions (2006-2010)	Residual requirement from remaining plan period (2010-28)
Worksop	32%	2464	471	1993
Retford	26%	2002	435	1567
Harworth Bircotes	22%	1694	128	1566
Carlton in Lindrick & Langold	4%	308	40	268
Tuxford	4%	308	8	300
Misterton	2%	154	68	86
Rural Service Centres	10%	770	169	601
Other settlements	0%	0	45	0
Total	100%	7700	1364	6381

PROPOSED CHANGE TO HARWORTH BIRCOTES PROPOSALS INSET MAP (SEE CHANGE FC47 ABOVE)

Harworth 12

