

Summary of Representations submitted to the Examiner

Name	Organisation	Summary of Representation
Stewart Patience	Anglian Water	Anglian Water has no comments relating to the content of the Submission Draft Neighbourhood Plan.
Beverley Alderton-Sambrook	Bassetlaw District Council	<p>Bassetlaw District Council considers that majority of the Draft Tuxford NP meets the requirements of the relevant basic conditions (for Neighbourhood Plans) as set out in Schedule 4B of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. However, for sections of the NP it is unclear, based on the evidence provided, if it is in general conformity with the strategic policies contained in the development plan for the area: the Bassetlaw Core Strategy and Development Management Policies DPD (Adopted December 2011) (basic condition e). As such, the Council would like clarification on this matter and for this to be resolved at the NP's examination. Please see Part 2 of this response for the council's detailed comments on this section of the NP, but in summary the section where clarification is required is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy 13: 'Supporting Local Business' conflicts with Core Strategy Policy CS6: Tuxford, Section B (Economic Development), which supports expansion of existing industrial estates at both Lodge Lane and Ollerton Road. Section 2(a) of NP Policy 13 imposes arbitrary limits on the size of such developments. There is no clear rationale for these limits on the employment growth potential of a settlement identified as a Local Service Centre which makes a key contribution to the district's employment offer, being located immediately adjacent to a strategic transport corridor.

Name	Organisation	Summary of Representation
Rachael A Bust	The Coal Authority	<p>As you will be aware the Neighbourhood Plan area lies within the current deep defined coalfield.</p> <p>According to the Coal Authority Development High Risk Area Plans, there are no recorded risks from past coal mining activity in the Neighbourhood Plan area. Therefore The Coal Authority has no specific comments to make on the Neighbourhood Plan.</p>
Hannah Godley	Fisher German LLP	<p>The principle of the Neighbourhood Plan is supported. The way in which new development is approached in the NP is positive,</p>
Steven Turvey	Highways England	<p>It noted that approximately 300 dwellings were planned to come forward across Tuxford and considered that there could be cumulative traffic impacts upon the operation of the A1, particularly at the southbound off-slip and southbound on-slip at Tuxford. Highways England stated that the impacts and potential need for mitigation would need to be assessed to ensure the safe and efficient operation of the A1 and considered that “Policy 4: Possible Residential Development Adjoining Tuxford”, should be amended to reflect this requirement.</p> <p>Therefore Highways England welcomes that this policy has been updated in the current version of the consultation document to reflect this requirement, with the following wording being incorporated:</p> <p><i>“It may be that the cumulative effect of significant development impacts on the operation of the A1. Such traffic impacts will need to be assessed in detail and mitigated as part of the planning application process”.</i></p> <p>Highways England has no further comments to provide and trusts</p>

Name	Organisation	Summary of Representation
		that this response has been useful in the progression of the Tuxford Neighbourhood Plan.
Clive Fletcher	Historic England	The Policies within the Plan are a good set of broad principles which, used in conjunction with the Tuxford Conservation are and appraisal and the NPPF will give an improved policy context against which applications for development can be weighed. The references to protecting the character of the conservation area are welcomed, and we suggest that some wording to protect the settings of listed buildings should also be considered in recognition of the <i>special regard</i> given by the 1990 Act to the consideration of their protection.
Elizabeth Newman	Natural England	<p>Natural England generally welcomes the Neighbourhood Plan and considers that it provides a useful framework for achieving the sustainable development of Tuxford parish.</p> <p>We have reviewed the Plan (submission publication) and we consider that our interest in the natural environment is well covered throughout the Plan and we particularly support Policy 9 which aims to improve access to the countryside.</p>
Eilidh McCallum	Nottinghamshire County Council	<p><u>Strategic Highways</u></p> <p>The County Council have one observation in relation to highways and that is in respect of section 7.3, Appendix C: List of Projects. Page 61 identifies a desire of the Town Council to install a pedestrian crossing and other traffic calming measures on Newark Road. The Plan should be clear that this is not in the remit of the Town Council and would need the support of and be delivered by Nottinghamshire County Council as the local highways authority.</p> <p><u>Transport and Travel</u></p>

Name	Organisation	Summary of Representation
		<p>Transport and Travel Services welcomes the Plan and the emphasis on sustainable development, but are disappointed that Tuxford Town Council have not adopted the comments made on the draft Plan and that no reference is made to any comments given by Nottinghamshire County Council in the response to the consultation on the draft plan.</p> <p><i>TTS welcome the draft Plan and the emphasis on sustainable development, including the key points set out on page 11 recognising the needs of an ageing population and supporting mobility and movement within the town.</i></p> <p><i>However a key omission from the document is the reference to the role of Public Transport. Public Transport contributions in respect of new developments are sought by the County Council and are supported by District and County Council policies. Therefore it is important to state the local need for improved services and infrastructure in Neighbourhood Development Plans.</i></p> <p><u>Landscape and visual impact</u> The County Council have no comments to make in relation to landscape and visual impact.</p> <p><u>Minerals and waste</u> The County Council has no comments to make to in addition to the points of note raised at earlier stages of consultation (as set out in Appendix A).</p>
-	Severn Trent Water	Thank you for the opportunity to comment on your consultation. We currently have no specific comments to make, but please keep us

Name	Organisation	Summary of Representation
		informed when your plans are further developed to include proposed location of development when we will be able to offer more detailed comments and advice.
Dave Harford	West Stockwith Parish Council	I have been authorised to state that West Stockwith Parish Council have no major comments or concerns to report on this consultation.

